Message

From: Shari Ring [Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com]

Sent: 10/6/2020 8:04:16 PM

To: Ho, Yenhung [Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov]
CC: Rao, Kate [Rao.kate@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: [EXT] FW: CES tech review comments #3

Attachments: Enclosure 2 - CES AoR Modeling Evaluation 10-2-20(DA+SR).docx

Hi Calvin

The attached document contains our responses to David's two comments.

Also I can confirm that there is no confidential information in this document.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

From: Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov> **Sent:** Tuesday, October 6, 2020 12:32 PM **To:** Shari Ring <Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com>

Cc: Rao, Kate <Rao.kate@epa.gov>

Subject: [EXT] FW: CES tech review comments #3

Hi Shari,

Can you please respond to David's comments on the AoR modeling evaluation as described in the email below and attached.

Thanks, Calvin

From: Albright, David < Albright. David@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 8:16 AM **To:** Ho, Yenhung < Ho. Yenhung@epa.gov > **Subject:** RE: CES tech review comments #3

Hi Calvin, I reviewed the two Enclosures and they are attached with my comments/questions. One additional question I have about Enclosure 2 is wanting to be sure we are not including anything that would be considered CBI, since our comments note that we are reviewing the geomodel that was developed in part by data from SEI and IHS. You may have already checked that with Cadmus, but I wanted to be 100% certain that we do not inadvertently release CBI data.

I'll take a look at the cover letter and send you any comments/edits on that separately. Thanks,

David

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the company. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.